

Ricci Semi-symmetric Hypersurfaces in Complex Two-Plane Grassmannians

Young Jin Suh 1 · Doo Hyun Hwang 2 · Changhwa Woo 2

Received: 24 February 2015 / Revised: 6 April 2016 / Published online: 22 April 2016 © Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society and Penerbit Universiti Sains Malaysia 2016

Abstract In this paper, we considered Ricci semi-symmetric real hypersurface in complex two-plane Grassmannians. Then we prove the non-existence of Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians by using the method of simultaneous diagonalization for pairwise commutative matrices.

Keywords Real hypersurfaces · Hopf hypersurface · Complex two-plane Grassmannians · Ricci semi-symmetric · Symmetric operator · Simultaneous diagonalization

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C15

Introduction

The complex two-plane Grassmannian $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ is defined by the set of all complex two-dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{C}^{m+2} . It is a kind of Hermitian symmetric space of compact irreducible type with rank 2. Remarkably, the manifolds are equipped with both a Kähler structure J and a quaternionic Kähler structure \mathfrak{J} satisfying $JJ_{\nu} = J_{\nu}J$ $(\nu = 1, 2, 3)$ where $\{J_{\nu}\}_{\nu=1,2,3}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{J} . When m = 1, $G_2(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is isometric to the two-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature eight. When m = 2, we note that the isomorphism

Communicated by Rosihan M. Ali.

Changhwa Woo legalgwch@naver.com

¹ Department of Mathematics and Research Institute of Real and Complex Manifold, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea

² Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Republic of Korea

Spin(6) \simeq SU(4) yields an isometry between $G_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$ and the real Grassmann Manifold $G_2^+(\mathbb{R}^6)$ of oriented two-dimensional linear subspaces in \mathbb{R}^6 . In this paper we always assume $m \ge 3$ (see [2]).

Suppose *M* is a real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Let *N* be a local unit normal vector field of *M* in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Since $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ has the Kähler structure *J*, we may define the *Reeb vector field* $\xi = -JN$ and a one-dimensional distribution $[\xi] = \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ where \mathcal{C} denotes the orthogonal complement in $T_x M, x \in M$, of the Reeb vector field ξ . The Reeb vector field ξ is said to be *Hopf* if \mathcal{C} (or \mathcal{C}^{\perp}) is invariant under the shape operator *A* of *M*. The one-dimensional foliation of *M* defined by the integral curves of ξ is said to be a *Hopf foliation* of *M*. We say that *M* is a *Hopf hypersurface* if and only if the Hopf foliation of *M* is totally geodesic. By the formulas in [7, Sect. 2], it can be checked that ξ is Hopf vector field if and only if *M* is Hopf hypersurface.

From the quaternionic Kähler structure \mathfrak{J} of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, there naturally exists *almost* contact 3-structure vector fields $\xi_{\nu} = -J_{\nu}N$, $\nu = 1, 2, 3$. Put $\mathcal{Q}^{\perp} = \text{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$. It is a 3-dimensional distribution in the tangent bundle TM of M. In addition, denoted by \mathcal{Q} the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} in TM. It is the quaternionic maximal subbundle of TM. Thus, the tangent bundle of M is expressed by a direct sum of \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} .

For two distributions \mathcal{C}^{\perp} and \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} defined above, we may consider two natural invariant geometric properties under the shape operator *A* of *M*, that is, $A\mathcal{C}^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ and $A\mathcal{Q}^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{Q}^{\perp}$. By using the result of Alekseevskii [1], Berndt and Suh [2, Theorem 1] have classified all real hypersurfaces with two natural invariant properties in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ as follows:

Let *M* be a real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \ge 3$. Then both $[\xi]$ and \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} are invariant under the shape operator of *M* if and only if

- (A) *M* is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, or
- (B) *m* is even, say m = 2n, and *M* is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H}P^n$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$.

In the case (A), we say M is of Type (A). Similarly in the case (B) we say M is of Type (B).

Regarding the parallelism of (1, 1)-type tensor field T, (i.e., $\nabla T = 0$) on real hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}), m \ge 3$, there are many well-known results. Many geometers have verified non-existence properties and some characterizations which show many kinds of parallelisms, such as parallel, Reeb parallel, or generalized Tanaka-Webster parallel (see [13, 14, 16] and [17]).

Recently, Panagiotidou and Tripathi [10] considered the notion of real hypersurfaces with *semi-parallel normal Jacobi operator* \bar{R}_N in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, that is, $R(X, Y) \cdot \bar{R}_N =$ 0. Motivated by this, we want to study the semi-parallelism on Ricci tensor. The Ricci tensor *S* on real hypersurface *M* in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ is defined by

$$g(SX, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{4m-1} g(R(e_i, X)Y, e_i),$$

where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{4m-1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T_x M$, $x \in M$ in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ and $X, Y \in T_x M$ (see [15]). Hereafter, we consider that X and Y are all tangent vector fields on M. A Riemannian manifold is called *Ricci semi-symmetric* if

where *R* is the curvature tensor of type (1,3) and R(X, Y) denotes the derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the tangent space (see [5]).

In this paper, we consider Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. By [2, Theorem 1] and *that of simultaneous diagonalizable matrices* in [3], we prove the non-existence of Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ as follows:

Theorem There does not exist a Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurface M in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \ge 3$.

Since semi-parallelism, that is, $R(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$ is weaker than parallel Ricci tensor, i.e., $\nabla S = 0$ (see [16]), by our Theorem mentioned above we obtain the following result

Corollary 1 There does not exist a Hopf hypersurface M in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \ge 3$, with parallel Ricci tensor.

In [18], the Ricci tensor *S* for a real hypersurface *M* in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ is said to be recurrent if $(\nabla_X S)Y = \omega(X)SY$, where ω is a one form defined on *M* in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. From [9, Theorem 20] and our Theorem, we also get another corollary as follows:

Corollary 2 There does not exist a Hopf hypersurface M in complex two-plane Grassmannians $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \ge 3$, with recurrent Ricci tensor.

In order to prove our main result, the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 1 we recall some fundamental formulas including the Gauss equation for real hypersurfaces in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. In Sect. 2 we prove that the Reeb vector field ξ of a Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ belongs to either the distribution \mathcal{Q} or the distribution \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} . Some lemmas for proving commuting conditions between symmetric operators are given. In Sect. 3, we show that a Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ satisfies $A\mathcal{Q}^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{Q}^{\perp}$ and check a non-existence property for real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with given conditions.

1 Preliminaries

In this paper, suppose M is a real hypersurface of $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \ge 3$, that is, a submanifold of codimension 1 in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. Let us denote by R the Riemannian curvature and \overline{R} the Riemannian curvature tensor on $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, respectively. That is, $R = \overline{R}|_M$ tensor on M. Hereafter unless otherwise stated, X, Y, Z, and W are tangent vector fields on M. In this section, we recall some basic formulas and the Gauss equation for a real hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ (see [4,7,12,15]). The induced Riemannian metric on M (resp., $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$) is denoted by g (resp., \overline{g}). Let ∇ and $\overline{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connections of (M, g) and $(G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}), \overline{g})$, respectively. Let N be a local unit normal vector field of M and A the shape operator of M with respect to N. J (resp., $\mathfrak{J}=Span\{J_V\}_{V=1,2,3}$) denotes the Kähler structure (resp., the quaternionic Kähler structure). We put

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N$$
 and $J_{\nu}X = \phi_{\nu}X + \eta_{\nu}(X)N$,

where ϕX (resp., $\phi_{\nu} X$) is the tangential part of JX (resp., $J_{\nu} X$), and $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$ (resp., $\eta_{\nu}(X) = g(X, \xi_{\nu})$) is the coefficient of the normal part of JX (resp., $J_{\nu} X$). In this case, we call ϕ the structure tensor field of M.

The Gauss equation is given by

.

$$R(X, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z + \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \left\{ g(\phi_{\nu}Y, Z)\phi_{\nu}X - g(\phi_{\nu}X, Z)\phi_{\nu}Y - 2g(\phi_{\nu}X, Y)\phi_{\nu}Z \right\} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \left\{ g(\phi_{\nu}\phi Y, Z)\phi_{\nu}\phi X - g(\phi_{\nu}\phi X, Z)\phi_{\nu}\phi Y \right\} - \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \left\{ \eta(Y)\eta_{\nu}(Z)\phi_{\nu}\phi X - \eta(X)\eta_{\nu}(Z)\phi_{\nu}\phi Y \right\} - \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \left\{ \eta(X)g(\phi_{\nu}\phi Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(\phi_{\nu}\phi X, Z) \right\} \xi_{\nu}.$$
(1.1)

From the definition of the Ricci tensor S and by the fundamental formulas in [15, Sect. 2], we have

$$SX = (4m + 7)X - 3\eta(X)\xi + hAX - A^{2}X + \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \{-3\eta_{\nu}(X)\xi_{\nu} + \eta_{\nu}(\xi)\phi_{\nu}\phi X - \eta_{\nu}(\phi X)\phi_{\nu}\xi - \eta(X)\eta_{\nu}(\xi)\xi_{\nu}\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where h denotes the trace of the shape operator A in M with respect to N.

The structure Jacobi operator R_{ξ} is defined by [8, Sect. 1]

$$R_{\xi}(X) = R(X,\xi)\xi$$

= $X - \eta(X)\xi - \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \left\{ \eta_{\nu}(X)\xi_{\nu} - \eta(X)\eta_{\nu}(\xi)\xi_{\nu} + 3g(\phi_{\nu}X,\xi)\phi_{\nu}\xi + \eta_{\nu}(\xi)\phi_{\nu}\phi X \right\} + \eta(A\xi)AX - \eta(AX)A\xi.$ (1.3)

[6, Lemma A] If *M* is a connected orientable Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, then we have the following two equations:

$$Y\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\eta(Y) - 4\sum_{\nu=1}^{3}\eta_{\nu}(\xi)\eta_{\nu}(\phi Y),$$
(1.4)

Springer

and

$$\alpha A\phi X + \alpha \phi A X - 2A\phi A X + 2\phi X = 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \left\{ -\eta_{\nu}(X)\phi\xi_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu}(\phi X)\xi_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu}(\phi X)\phi_{\nu}(\xi)\phi_{\nu}X + 2\eta(X)\eta_{\nu}(\xi)\phi\xi_{\nu} + 2\eta_{\nu}(\phi X)\eta_{\nu}(\xi)\xi \right\},$$
(1.5)

where the Reeb function $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$ on *M*.

2 A Key Lemma

We first give the fundamental equation for a Ricci semi-symmetric real hypersurface M in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. A real hypersurface M is called *Ricci semi-symmetric* if $R(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$, that is, (R(X, Y)S)Z = 0 for any vector field X, Y, and Z. It is equivalent to

$$R(X,Y)(SZ) = S(R(X,Y)Z).$$
(2.1)

Since the Ricci tensor S is symmetric, we have

$$R(SX, Y)Z = R(X, SY)Z.$$
(**)

In order to prove our Theorem, let us show that the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to either or the distribution $Q^{\perp} = \text{Span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ or its orthogonal complement the distribution Q with the assumption of Ricci semi-symmetric as follows:

Lemma 2.1 Let *M* be a Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \ge 3$. Then the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to either the distribution Q or the distribution Q^{\perp} .

Proof We consider that the Reeb vector fields ξ satisfies

$$\xi = \eta(X_0)X_0 + \eta(\xi_1)\xi_1$$

for some unit vectors $X_0 \in Q$, $\xi_1 \in Q^{\perp}$, and $\eta(X_0)\eta(\xi_1) \neq 0$. Let $A\xi = \alpha\xi$. In the case of $\alpha = 0$, by (1.4), ξ belongs to either Q or Q^{\perp} which contradicts the assumption (see [12]). If $\alpha \neq 0$, from (1.2) (resp., (1.3)), we have

$$S\xi = \sigma_0 \xi - 4\eta_1(\xi)\xi_1$$
, where $\sigma_0 := 4m + 4 + h\alpha - \alpha^2$, (2.2)

$$R_{\xi}(\xi_1) = \alpha A \xi_1 - \alpha^2 \eta_1(\xi) \xi.$$
(2.3)

Substituting $X = Y = Z = \xi$ into (**), we get $R(S\xi, \xi)\xi = R(\xi, S\xi)\xi$, which means $R_{\xi}(S\xi) = 0$. Since $-4\eta_1(\xi) \neq 0$ and (1.3), we have $R_{\xi}(\xi_1) = 0$. From (2.3), we obtain $A\xi_1 = \alpha \eta_1(\xi)\xi$ and $AX_0 = \alpha \eta(X_0)\xi$.

By putting $X = X_0$ into (1.5), we have

$$A\phi X_0 = \sigma_1 \phi X_0$$
, where $\sigma_1 := \frac{-4\eta^2(X_0)}{\alpha}$. (2.4)

🖉 Springer

By using (2.4) and substituting $X = \phi X_0$ into (1.2) (resp., (1.3)), we obtain

$$S\phi X_0 = \sigma_2 \phi X_0$$
, where $\sigma_2 = 4m + 8 + h\sigma_1 - \sigma_1^2$, (2.5)

$$R_{\xi}(\phi X_0) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

By substituting $X = \phi X_0$, $Y = \xi$, $X = \xi$ into (**) and using (2.2), (2.5), (2.6), we have $0 = -4\eta_1(\xi)R(\phi X_0, \xi_1)\xi$.

Since we assumed $\eta(X_0)\eta(\xi_1) \neq 0$, this equation becomes

$$0 = R(\phi X_0, \xi_1)\xi.$$
(2.7)

Putting $X = \phi X_0$, $Y = \xi_1$, and $Z = \xi$ into (1.1) and using (2.4), (2.7) becomes

$$0 = R(\phi X_0, \xi_1)\xi$$

= $\eta_1(\xi)\phi X_0 + g(\phi_1^2 X_0, \xi)\phi_1\phi^2 X_0 - \eta_1^2(\xi_1)\phi_1\phi^2 X_0 + \alpha\eta_1(\xi)A\phi X_0$
= $-4\eta_1(\xi)\eta^2(X_0)\phi X_0.$

This means $\phi X_0 = 0$. However $g(\phi X_0, \phi X_0) = 1 - \eta^2(X_0) = \eta^2(\xi_1)$ never vanishes, it is a contradiction. Accordingly, the lemma is proved.

Next we further study the case $\xi \in Q^{\perp}$.

Lemma 2.2 [3] If A,B,C are diagonalizable matrices and commute with each other, then there exists a basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{4m-1}$ which simultaneously diagonalizes A,B,C.

Lemma 2.3 [11] Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \ge 3$. If the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} , then SA = AS.

On the other hand, if $\xi = \xi_1 \in Q^{\perp}$, (1.3) is reduced to

$$R_{\xi}(X) = X - \eta(X)\xi + 2\eta_2(X)\xi_2 + 2\eta_3(X)\xi_3 - \phi_1\phi X + \eta(A\xi)AX - \eta(AX)A\xi$$
(2.8)

and we also have (see [11])

$$\phi AX = 2\eta_3(AX)\xi_2 - 2\eta_2(AX)\xi_3 + \phi_1AX, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$A\phi X = 2\eta_3(X)A\xi_2 - 2\eta_2(X)A\xi_3 + A\phi_1 X.$$
(2.10)

Related to the shape operator A and the structure Jacobi operator R_{ξ} , we assert the following:

Lemma 2.4 Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$, $m \ge 3$. If the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to the distribution Q^{\perp} , then $R_{\xi}A = AR_{\xi}$.

Proof Applying A (Substituting X as AX) to (2.8) and using (2.9) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{cases} AR_{\xi}X = \alpha A^2 X - (\alpha^3 + 2\alpha)\eta(X)\xi + 2AX, \\ R_{\xi}AX = \alpha A^2 X - (\alpha^3 + 2\alpha)\eta(X)\xi + 2AX. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have $R_{\xi}A = AR_{\xi}$.

3 Proof of Theorem

In this section, we prove the non-existence of Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$. For this purpose, we give the following:

Lemma 3.1 There does not exist any Ricci semi-symmetric Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2}), m \ge 3$ with ξ belongs to \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} everywhere.

Proof Putting $Y = Z = \xi$ into (**) (resp., (2.1)), we have

$$R_{\xi}(SX) = \sigma R_{\xi}(X) \tag{3.1}$$

$$SR_{\xi}(X) = \sigma R_{\xi}(X), \qquad (3.2)$$

where $\sigma = 4m + h\alpha - \alpha^2$. Thus,

$$R_{\xi}S = SR_{\xi}.\tag{3.3}$$

By Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and 3.3, we know that there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^{4m-1}$ such that

$$Ae_k = \lambda_k e_k, \tag{3.4}$$

$$R_{\xi}(e_k) = \gamma_k e_k, \tag{3.5}$$

$$Se_k = t_k e_k, \tag{3.6}$$

where k = 1, 2, ..., 4m - 1. Since $R_{\xi}(\xi) = 0$, there exist $j \in \{1, ..., 4m - 1\}$, such that $R_{\xi}(e_j) = 0$.

Thus, the tangent space can be split into $T_x M = \mathfrak{D}_0(x) \oplus \mathfrak{D}_0^{\perp}(x)$, where $x \in M$ and

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_0(x) = \operatorname{span} \{ e_j \in \{e_k\}_{k=1}^{4m-1} \mid R_{\xi}(e_j) = 0 \} & \text{at } x, \\ \mathfrak{D}_0^{\perp}(x) = \operatorname{span} \{ e_i \in \{e_k\}_{k=1}^{4m-1} \mid R_{\xi}(e_i) \neq 0 \} & \text{at } x. \end{cases}$$

Since $\xi = \xi_1$, the equation (1.4) is reduced to

$$SX = (4m + 7)X - 7\eta(X)\xi - 2\eta_2(X)\xi_2 - 2\eta_3(X)\xi_3 + \phi_1\phi X + hAX - A^2X.$$
(3.7)

🖄 Springer

By (3.4) and (3.6), putting $X = e_i \in \mathfrak{D}_0(x)$ into (2.8) (resp., (3.7)), we have

$$0 = (1 + \alpha \lambda_j)e_j - \eta(e_j)(\alpha^2 + 1)\xi + 2\eta_2(e_j)\xi_2 + 2\eta_3(e_j)\xi_3 - \phi_1\phi e_j,$$
(3.8)
$$t_j e_j = (4m + 7 + h\lambda_j - \lambda_j^2)e_j - 7\eta(e_j)\xi - 2\eta_2(e_j)\xi_2 - 2\eta_3(e_j)\xi_3 + \phi_1\phi e_j.$$
(3.9)

Combining (3.8) and (3.9), we get

$$(4m + 8 + h\lambda_j - \lambda_j^2 + \alpha\lambda_j - t_j)e_j = (\alpha^2 + 8)\eta(e_j)\xi.$$
 (3.10)

Since $R_{\xi}(X)$ never vanishes for all tangent vectors X belongs to $\mathfrak{D}_1(x)$. Thus, $SR_{\xi}(X) = \sigma R_{\xi}(X)$ is equivalent to $SX = \sigma X$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{D}_1(x)$. Since $R_{\xi}(\xi) = 0$, the Reeb vector field ξ belongs to $\mathfrak{D}_0(x)$, thus dim $\mathfrak{D}_0(x) \ge 1$.

Now, we may consider the following cases:

Case I dim $\mathfrak{D}_0(x) = 1$.

In this case, $T_x M = \mathfrak{D}_0(x) \oplus \mathfrak{D}_1(x) = [\xi](x) \oplus \mathfrak{D}_1(x)$. Since $\mathfrak{D}_1(x)$ is ϕ -invariant vector space and $S\xi = \sigma\xi$, we have $SX = \sigma X$ for any tangent vector field X on M. Thus, we have $S\phi = \phi S$. By a result of Suh [15, Theorem]: Let M be a connected orientable Hopf hypersurface in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ with commuting Ricci tensor, i.e., $S\phi = \phi S$, $m \ge 3$. Then M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of Type (A).

Case II dim $\mathfrak{D}_0(x) = \ell \ge 2$.

In this case, $T_x M = \mathfrak{D}_0(x) \oplus \mathfrak{D}_1(x)$. Since the Reeb vector field ξ satisfies $A\xi = \alpha\xi$, $R_{\xi}(\xi) = 0\xi$ and $S\xi = \sigma\xi$, we may put $\mathfrak{D}_0(x) = [\xi](x) \oplus \operatorname{span}\{e_{k_2}, \ldots, e_{k_j}, \ldots, e_{k_\ell}\}$, where $j \ge 2$. Then we have $\eta(e_{k_j}) = 0$ for $2 \le j \le l$. Putting $X = e_{k_j}$ into (2.8) and (3.7), we have

$$0 = R_{\xi}(e_{k_i}) = (1 + \alpha \lambda_{k_i})e_{k_i} + 2\eta_2(e_{k_i})\xi_2 + 2\eta_3(e_{k_i})\xi_3 - \phi_1 \phi e_{k_i}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$t_{k_j} e_{k_j} = S e_{k_j} = (4m + 8 + h\lambda_{k_j} - \lambda_{k_j}^2 + \alpha \lambda_{k_j}) e_{k_j}.$$
 (3.12)

If we apply the shape operator A to (3.11), we obtain

$$0 = (1 + \alpha \lambda_{k_j}) A e_{k_j} + 2\eta_2(e_{k_j}) A \xi_2 + 2\eta_3(e_{k_j}) A \xi_3 - A \phi_1 \phi e_{k_j}$$

= $(2 + \alpha \lambda_{k_j}) \lambda_{k_j} e_{k_j}.$

So we may consider the following two subcases:

Subcase I $2 + \alpha \lambda_{k_j} = 0$, where $j \ge 2$.

$$2 + \alpha \lambda_{k_j} = 0 \quad \left(i.e., \ \lambda_{k_j} = -\frac{2}{\alpha} \right). \tag{3.13}$$

Using (3.13), (3.11) is changed into

$$0 = -e_{k_j} + 2\eta_2(e_{k_j})\xi_2 + 2\eta_3(e_{k_j})\xi_3 - \phi_1\phi e_{k_j}.$$
(3.14)

D Springer

Applying ϕ_1 to (3.14), we have

$$\phi_1 e_{k_j} = 2\eta_2(e_{k_j})\xi_3 - 2\eta_3(e_{k_j})\xi_2 + \phi e_{k_j}.$$
(3.15)

Since $\xi = \xi_1$, (1.5) is reduced to

$$A\phi AX = \frac{\alpha}{2}A\phi X + \frac{\alpha}{2}\phi AX + \phi X + \phi X + \phi_1 X - 2\eta_2(X)\xi_3 + 2\eta_3(X)\xi_2.$$
 (3.16)

By putting $X = e_{k_i}$ into (3.16) and by using (3.13) and (3.15), we get

$$A\phi e_{k_j} = \sigma_3 \phi e_{k_j}$$
 where $\sigma_3 = \frac{-2\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 4}$. (3.17)

Substituting $X = \phi e_{k_i}$ into (1.2) (resp., (1.3)) and using (3.17), we obtain

$$R_{\xi}(\phi e_{k_j}) = (\alpha \sigma_3 + 2)\phi e_{k_j}, \qquad (3.18)$$

$$S\phi e_{k_{j}} = (4m + 6 + h\sigma_{3} - \sigma_{3}^{2})\phi e_{k_{j}}.$$
(3.19)

By using (3.18) and (3.19) and substituting $X = \phi e_{k_i}$ into (3.1), it follows that

$$(6+\sigma_3h-h\alpha-\sigma_3^2+\alpha^2)(\alpha\sigma_3+2)\phi e_{k_j}=0.$$

Since $\alpha \sigma_3 + 2 = \frac{8}{\alpha^2 + 8} > 0$ (i.e., $\alpha \sigma_3 + 2$ never vanishes), we have

$$6 + \sigma_3 h - h\alpha - \sigma_3^2 + \alpha^2 = 0, \qquad (3.20)$$

$$Se_{k_j} = \left(4m + 6 - h\frac{2}{\alpha} - \left(\frac{2}{\alpha}\right)^2\right)e_{k_j}.$$
(3.21)

By using (3.19), (3.21) and putting $X = \phi e_{k_j}$, $Y = e_{k_j}$ into (**), we obtain

$$\left(\sigma_3 + \frac{2}{\alpha}\right)\left(h - \sigma_3 + \frac{2}{\alpha}\right)R(\phi e_{k_j}, e_{k_j})Z = 0.$$
(3.22)

By (3.17), the coefficient factors of (3.22) never vanishes, due to $\sigma_3 + \frac{2}{\alpha} = \frac{8}{\alpha(\alpha^2+4)} \neq 0$ and by (3.20), $h - \sigma_3 + \frac{2}{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha^4 + 14\alpha^2 + 36}{\alpha(\alpha^2+6)} \neq 0$. Thus, (3.22) is reduced to

$$R(\phi e_{k_j}, e_{k_j})Z = 0. (3.23)$$

By putting $Z = e_{k_j}$ into (3.23) and by using (1.1), the structure tensors ϕ and ϕ_{ν} are skew-symmetric and $\eta(e_{k_j}) = 0$, we have the following equation.

$$0 = R(\phi e_{k_j}, e_{k_j})e_{k_j}$$

= $g(e_{k_j}, e_{k_j})\phi e_{k_j} - g(\phi^2 e_{k_j}, e_{k_j})\phi e_{k_j} - 2g(\phi^2 e_{k_j}, e_{k_j})\phi e_{k_j}$

Deringer

$$+\sum_{\nu=1}^{3} \left\{ -g(\phi_{\nu}\phi e_{k_{j}}, e_{k_{j}})\phi_{\nu}e_{k_{j}} - 2g(\phi_{\nu}\phi e_{k_{j}}, e_{k_{j}})\phi_{\nu}e_{k_{j}} + g(\phi_{\nu}\phi e_{k_{j}}, e_{k_{j}})\phi_{\nu}\phi^{2}e_{k_{j}} \right\} + g(Ae_{k_{j}}, e_{k_{j}})A\phi e_{k_{j}}$$
$$= (4 + \lambda_{k_{j}}\sigma_{3})\phi e_{k_{j}} - 4\sum_{\nu=1}^{3} g(\phi_{\nu}\phi e_{k_{j}}, e_{k_{j}})\phi_{\nu}e_{k_{j}}.$$
(3.24)

Taking the inner product of (3.24) with ϕe_{k_i} , we obtain

$$0 = (4 + \lambda_{k_j}\sigma_3)g(\phi e_{k_j}, \phi e_{k_j}) - 4\sum_{\nu=1}^3 g(\phi_\nu \phi e_{k_j}, e_{k_j})g(\phi_\nu e_{k_j}, \phi e_{k_j})$$
$$= 4\left(\frac{\alpha^2 + 5}{\alpha^2 + 4}\right) + 4\sum_{\nu=1}^3 g^2(\phi_\nu \phi e_{k_j}, e_{k_j}),$$

where we have used $\lambda_{k_j} = -\frac{2}{\alpha}$ and $\sigma_3 = \frac{-2\alpha}{\alpha^2 + 4}$. Since the right side of the equation is greater than 4, this is a contradiction. Thus, Subcase I cannot occur.

Subcase II $\lambda_{k_i} = 0$, where $j \ge 2$. Putting $X = e_{k_i}$ into (2.8),

$$0 = R_{\xi}(e_{k_j}) = e_{k_j} + 2\eta_2(e_{k_j})\xi_2 + 2\eta_3(e_{k_j})\xi_3 - \phi_1\phi e_{k_j}.$$
 (3.25)

Applying ϕ_1 (resp., A) to (3.25), we have

$$0 = \phi_1 e_{k_j} + 2\eta_2(e_{k_j})\xi_3 - 2\eta_3(e_{k_j})\xi_3 + \phi e_{k_j}, \qquad (3.26)$$

$$0 = A\phi_1 e_{k_j} + 2\eta_2(e_{k_j})A\xi_3 - 2\eta_3(e_{k_j})A\xi_3 + A\phi e_{k_j}.$$
(3.27)

By (2.10), we get

$$A\phi_1 e_{k_i} = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Putting $X = e_{k_j}$ into (3.16), we obtain

$$0 = \frac{\alpha}{2} A \phi e_{k_j} + 4\eta_3(e_{k_j})\xi_2 - 4\eta_2(e_{k_j})\xi_3.$$
(3.29)

Taking the inner product of (3.29) with $\phi_1 e_{k_j}$ and using (3.28), we have $\eta_3^2(e_{k_j}) + \eta_2^2(e_{k_j}) = 0$, that is,

$$\eta_3(e_{k_i}) = \eta_2(e_{k_i}) = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

By using (3.28) and (3.30), (3.27) becomes

$$A\phi e_{k_i} = 0. \tag{3.31}$$

By (3.26), (3.31) and putting $X = \phi e_{k_i}$ into (2.8), we get

$$R_{\xi}(\phi e_{k_i}) = \phi e_{k_i} + 2\eta_3(e_{k_i})\xi_2 - 2\eta_2(e_{k_i})\xi_3 + \phi_1 e_{k_i} + \alpha A\phi e_{k_i} = 0.$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{D}_0(x) \ominus [\xi](x)$ is ϕ -invariant. By virtue of (3.10), *S* has the same eigenvalue 4m + 8 corresponding to each $e_{k_j} \in \mathfrak{D}_0(x) \ominus [\xi](x)$, where $j \ge 2$. Since $S\xi = \sigma\xi$, $\mathfrak{D}_0(x) \ominus [\xi](x)$ is ϕ -invariant and $SX = \sigma X$ for $X \in \mathfrak{D}_1(x)$, we have $S\phi X = \phi SX$ for all tangent vectors *X* on *M*. Again, by [15, Theorem], M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of Type (*A*).

Now, we verify whether a real hypersurface of Type (A) denoted by M_A satisfies the assumption in our Theorem. We assume that M_A satisfies the condition of Ricci semi-symmetric.

Putting $Y = \xi$ and $Z = \xi$ into (2.1), we have

$$SR_{\xi}(X) = \sigma R_{\xi}(X), \qquad (3.32)$$

where $\sigma = 4m + h\alpha - \alpha^2$.

In [2, Proposition 3], we obtain the following:

$$SX = \begin{cases} (4m + h\alpha - \alpha^{2})\xi & \text{if } X = \xi \in T_{\alpha} \\ (4m + 6 + h\beta - \beta^{2})\xi_{\nu} & \text{if } X = \xi_{\nu} \in T_{\beta} \\ (4m + 6 + h\lambda - \lambda^{2})X & \text{if } X \in T_{\lambda} \\ (4m + 8)X & \text{if } X \in T_{\mu}, \end{cases}$$
$$R_{\xi}(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X = \xi \in T_{\alpha} \\ (\alpha\beta + 2)\xi_{\nu} & \text{if } X = \xi_{\nu} \in T_{\beta} \\ (\alpha\lambda + 2)\phi X & \text{if } X \in T_{\lambda} \\ 0 & \text{if } X \in T_{\mu}, \end{cases}$$
$$a = \sqrt{8}\cot(\sqrt{8}r), \quad \beta = \sqrt{2}\cot(\sqrt{2}r), \quad \lambda = -\sqrt{2}\tan(\sqrt{2}r), \quad \mu = 0. \end{cases}$$

Putting $X = \xi_2$ (resp., $X \in T_{\lambda}$) into (3.32), we have

$$6 + h\beta - \beta^2 = h\alpha - \alpha^2, \tag{3.33}$$

$$6 + h\lambda - \lambda^2 = h\alpha - \alpha^2. \tag{3.34}$$

Combining (3.33) and (3.34), we have $(h - \beta - \lambda)(\beta - \lambda) = 0$. Since $\beta \neq \lambda$, it is

$$h - \beta - \lambda = 0. \tag{3.35}$$

Combining (3.35) and (3.33), we have

$$4 = h\alpha - \alpha^2 = \beta\lambda$$

This contradicts to the value of β and λ .

Hence, the model space M_A in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ does not satisfy the Ricci semi-symmetric condition.

For a Hopf hypersurface with $\xi \in Q$, by [7, Main Theorem], we know that M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of Type (B). Now we check whether a Hopf hypersurface of Type (B) denoted by M_B satisfies the Ricci semi-symmetric condition. On TM_B , since $\xi \in Q$ and $h = \text{Tr}(A) = \alpha + (4n - 1)\beta$ is a constant, putting $Y = \xi$ and $Z = \xi$ into (*), we have

$$SR_{\xi}(X) = \sigma_0 R_{\xi}(X), \qquad (3.36)$$

where $\sigma_0 = 4m + 4 + h\alpha - \alpha^2$.

In [2, Proposition 2], we obtain the following:

$$SX = \begin{cases} (4m + 4 + h\alpha - \alpha^{2})\xi & \text{if } X = \xi \in T_{\alpha} \\ (4m + 4 + h\beta - \beta^{2})\xi_{\ell} & \text{if } X = \xi_{\ell} \in T_{\beta} \\ (4m + 8)\phi\xi_{\ell} & \text{if } X = \phi\xi_{\ell} \in T_{\gamma} \\ (4m + 7 + h\lambda - \lambda^{2})X & \text{if } X \in T_{\lambda} \\ (4m + 7 + h\mu - \mu^{2})X & \text{if } X \in T_{\mu}, \end{cases}$$
(3.37)
$$R_{\xi}(X) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } X = \xi \in T_{\alpha} \\ \alpha\beta\xi_{\ell} & \text{if } X = \xi_{\ell} \in T_{\beta} \\ 4\phi\xi_{\ell} & \text{if } X = \phi\xi_{\ell} \in T_{\gamma} \\ (1 + \alpha\lambda)\phi X & \text{if } X \in T_{\lambda} \\ (1 + \alpha\mu)\phi X & \text{if } X \in T_{\mu}. \end{cases}$$
(3.38)

Putting $X = \phi_{\ell} \xi$ (resp., $X \in T_{\lambda}$) into (3.36). It gives $h\beta - \beta^2 = 4$ and $h\beta - \beta^2 = -1$. It causes a contradiction.

Remark 3.2 The model space of M_B in $G_2(\mathbb{C}^{m+2})$ does not satisfy the Ricci semisymmetric condition.

Combining Lemmas 2.1, 3.1 and Remark 3.2, this completes the proof of Theorem in the introduction.

Acknowledgements The present authors would be willing to give their hearty gratitude to the referee who give us valuable comments. This work was supported by Grant Proj. No. NRF-2015-R1A2A1A-01002459 and the third author is supported by NRF Grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2013-Fostering Core Leaders of Future Basic Science Program).

References

- 1. Alekseevskii, D.V.: Compact quaternion spaces. Funct. Anal. Appl. 2, 106–114 (1966)
- Berndt, J., Suh, Y.J.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians. Mon. Math. 127, 1–14 (1999)
- 3. Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.R.: Matrix Analysis. Cambride University Press, Cambride (1985)
- Jeong, I., Machado, C.J.G., Pérez, J.D., Suh, Y.J.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with D[⊥]-parallel structure Jacobi operator. Int. J. Math. 22(5), 655–673 (2011)
- 5. Jun, J.B., De, U.C., Pathak, G.: On Kenmotsu manifolds. J. Korean Math. Soc. 42(3), 435–445 (2005)
- Lee, H., Choi, Y.S., Woo, C.: Hopf hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with Reeb parallel shape operator. Bull. Malays. Math. Soc. 38, 617–634 (2015)
- Lee, H., Suh, Y.J.: Real hypersurfaces of Type B in complex two-plane Grassmannians related to the Reeb vector. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47(3), 551–561 (2010)

- Lee, H., Suh, Y.J., Woo, C.: Real hypersurfaces with commuting Jacobi operators in complex two-plane Grassmannians. Houst. J. Math. 40(3), 751–766 (2014)
- Loo, T.-H.: Semi-parallel real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians. Differ. Geom. Appl. 34, 87–102 (2014)
- Panagiotidou, K., Tripathi, M.M.: Semi-parallelism of normal Jacobi operator for Hopf hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians. Mon. Math. 172(2), 167–178 (2013)
- 11. Pak, E., Suh, Y.J., Woo, C.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with commuting restricted Jacobi operators. Houst. J. Math. **41**(3), 767–783 (2015)
- Pérez, J.D., Suh, Y.J.: The Ricci tensor of real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians. J. Korean Math. Soc. 44, 211–235 (2007)
- Pérez, J.D., Suh, Y.J., Woo, C.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with GTW Harmonic curvature. Can. Math. Bull. 58(4), 835–845 (2015)
- Pérez, J.D., Suh, Y.J., Woo, C.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians whose shape operator is recurrent for the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Turk. J. Math. 39(3), 313–321 (2015)
- Suh, Y.J.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with commuting Ricci tensor. J. Geom. Phys. 60, 1792–1805 (2010)
- Suh, Y.J.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with parallel Ricci tensor. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A. 142, 1309–1324 (2012)
- Suh, Y.J.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with Reeb parallel Ricci tensor. J. Geom. Phys. 64, 1–11 (2013)
- Suh, Y.J., Hwang, D.H., Woo, C.: Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians with recurrent Ricci tensor. Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 12(9), 1550086 (2015)